

\*

2 40

Sun Jinjuan

The research on library-related indicators in higher education evaluation is of great significance to guide the development of academic libraries. Based on the importance of the new age education assessment program and the engagement of colleges and universities, the article selects the index system/accreditation standards of qualification evaluation, audit evaluation, teacher education program accreditation, professional accreditation of engineering education, and medical program accreditation issued by the Ministry of Education as the research objects, and discovers that libraries-related indicators in education evaluation mainly point to book resources, showing a trend of de-libraryzation; new requirements for fine-grained evaluation based on "professional" are put forward for the statistics of the number of books; library indicators are at a relatively low level. In view of the challenges faced by libraries participating in education evaluation, it is believed that research and practice should be carried out in creating a library evaluation culture, innovating professional collection resource statistics methods, and improving contribution. It is suggested to promote the special evaluation of academic libraries while actively participating in education evaluation. 2 tabs. 40 refs.

Academic Library; Education Evaluation; Evaluation Metrics


[1]

[2]

2020SJA1424)

，  
5 ) / ( )  
，  
。

0 #  
：  
；  
；  
ACRL  
1994  
，  
， ACRL  
，  
。 1996  
[3]:(1)  
，  
！



1

	<p>《 》(2012 1 10 , 2018 )</p>	<p>7 20 39</p>	<p>( ) —( ) ( )</p>	<p>; ) ( ; , 。</p>	<p>[2004] 2</p>
		<p>4 12 37</p>	<p>: ( ) —( ) ( )</p>	<p>, , 。</p>	
			<p>( ) —( ) ( ) )X3.1.1</p>	<p>, , ,</p>	
<p>①</p>	<p>《 ( )》(2021 1 21 )</p>	<p>7 26 78</p>	<p>( ) —( ) ( ) )B3.2.1</p>	<p>B1 B2</p>	
			<p>( ) —( ) —( )</p>	<p>, B2</p>	

①	《 ( )》 (2017 10 26 )	: 4 ,15	( ) ——( )	$\geq 30$ ; 6 $\geq 1$	
	《 ( )》 (2017 10 26 )	: 8 ,38	( ) ——( )	。	
	《 ( )》 (2017 10 26 )	: 8 ,42	( ) ——( )	， ， 30 。	
	《 (2017 11 )	7 36	( ) ——( )	、 。	
	《 (2016 )	10 ,40 ,193 ( 113 ,80	( ) ——( ) ——( )	， 。( )	

①





、2 《 》

( 2)。





。2002 《 》 ,2003

2 《 ( )》 ,2010 , 、 、 , 。2015 12 31 《 》, , , 1992 1993 [39]、 5 2012 [40] 14 , 。 [EB/OL]. [2022-03-11]. <https://www.heec.edu.cn/pgcenter/yxpg/pgjj/index.html>.

3 ACRL. Task Force on Academic Library Outcomes Assessment Report[R/OL]. [2021-07-01]. <https://www.ala.org/acrl/publications/whitepapers/taskforceacademic>.

4 Jackson B. The Representation of Library Value in Extra-Institutional Evaluations of University Quality [J]. Canadian Journal of Higher Education, 2017, 47(1): 80-96.

5 Lee B K , Kim K. A Comparative Analysis on University Accreditation Standards of Korea and USA in the View Point of Information Literacy[J]. Journal of the Korean Biblia Society for Library and Information Science, 2013(24): 135-156.

6 Oakleaf M. What's the Value of an Academic Library? The Development of the ACRL Value of Academic Libraries Comprehensive Research Review and Report[J]. Australian Academic & Research Libraries, 2011, 42(1): 1-13.

7 Connaway L S, et al. Academic Library Impact: Im-

proving Practice and Essential Areas to Research [R/OL]. [2022-05-04]. <https://www.ala.org/acrl/sites/ala.org.acrl/files/content/publications/whitepapers/academiclib.pdf>.

8 Emmons M, Oakleaf M. The ACRL Standards for Proficiencies for Assessment Librarians and Coordinators: A New Document to Support and Strengthen Assessment Efforts in Academic Libraries[J]. Journal of Academic Librarianship, 2016, 42(5): 622-624.

9 Bradley C. Information literacy in the programmatic university accreditation standards of select professions in Canada, the United States, the United Kingdom, and Australia[J]. Journal of Information Literacy, 2013, 7(1): 44-68.

10 [J]. , 2017(11): 31-35.

11 [J]. , 2006(5): 212-213, 215.


12 [J]. , 1998(4): 54-56.

13 [J]. , 2005(6): 92-94.

14 [J]. , 2007(4): 38-40.

15 [J]. , 2006(6): 21-25.

16 .



- [\\_left/s5911/moe\\_619/201512/t20151228\\_226196.html](#).
- 31 Farkas M G, et al. Bridges and Barriers: Factors Influencing a Culture of Assessment in Academic Libraries[J]. College & Research Libraries, 2015, 76(2): 150-169.
- 32 . . . [J]. , 2019(11): 2-8.
- 33 . . . [J]. , 2021(11): 71-79.
- 34 Bryan, J E. The preparation of academic librarians who provide instruction: a comparison of first and second career librarians[J]. The Journal of Academic Librarianship, 2016(42): 340-354.
- 35 . . . [J]. , 2005(3): 2-5.
- 36 Erlinger A. Outcomes assessment in undergraduate information literacy instruction: A systematic review[J]. College & Research Libraries, 2018, 79(4): 442-479.
- 37 Holliday W, et al. An Information Literacy Snapshot: Authentic Assessment across the Curriculum [J]. College & Research Libraries, 2015(3): 170-187.
- 38 . . . 5 [J]. , 2018(2): 8-13.
- 39 . . . [J]. , 1994(2): 45.
- 40 14 " " [EB/OL]. [2022-09-05]. <https://wendang.xuehi.cn/doc/eee9abe3d25abe23482fb4daa58da0116c171ff5.html>.
- ( 2018 ) : 2022-03-31
-